



March 10, 2010

Bonnie D. Shealy

1901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200 POST OFFICE BOX 944 COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29202

(803) 779-8900 | (803) 227-1102 direct

FAX
(803) 252-0724 | (803) 744-1551 direct

bshealy@robinsonlaw.com

#### **VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Jocelyn Boyd, Interim Chief Clerk of the Commission Public Service Commission of South Carolina Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Docket No. 1989-9-E

Dear Jocelyn:

Pursuant to the Commission's orders enclosed for filing on behalf of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC are the following:

- 1. Monthly Fuel Cost Report for January 2010 (Exhibit A);
- Base Load Power Plant Performance Report for January 2010 (Exhibit B); and
- 3. Revised Schedule 10 (page 1) for October, November, and December, 2009.

Schedule 10 has been revised due to changes in McGuire (Unit 2) and Catawba (Unit 1) data. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

ROBINSON, McFadden & Moore, P.C.

Bonnie D. Shealy

/bds Enclosures

cc/enc: Dan Arnett, ORS Chief of Staff (via email & U.S. Mail)

Jeffrey Nelson, ORS Staff Attorney (via email & U.S. Mail)

John Flitter, ORS (via email & U.S. Mail)

Scott Elliott, Esquire for SC Energy Users Committee (via email & U.S. Mail)

Lara S. Nichols, Associate General Counsel (via email)

#### DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS SUMMARY OF MONTHLY FUEL REPORT SC Code Ann. §58-27-865 (Supp. 2009)

Line <u>No.</u>	Fuel Expenses:	J	anuary 2010
1	Fuel and fuel-related costs	\$	171,476,104
2	Less fuel expenses (in line 1) recovered through intersystem sales (a)		12,462,247
3	Total fuel and fuel-related costs (line 1 minus line 2)	\$	159,013,856
4 5	MWH sales: Total system sales. Less intersystem sales		8,060,543 272,682
6	Total sales less intersystem sales		7,787,861
7	Total fuel and fuel-related costs (¢/KWH) (c) (line 3/line 6)	-	2.0418
8	Current fuel and fuel-related cost component (¢/KWH) (per Schedule 4, Line 2 + Line 8)		1.9653
9 10 11 12	Generation Mix (MWH): Fossil (by primary fuel type): Coal Fuel Oil Natural Gas Total fossil		3,978,428 (732) 4,036 3,981,732
13	Nuclear 100%		5,395,200
14 15 16	Hydro - Conventional Hydro - Pumped storage Total hydro		339,153 (61,750) 277,403
17	Total MWH generation		9,654,335
18	Less joint owners' portion		1,406,306
19	Adjusted total MWH generation		8,248,029
	(a) Line 2 includes: Fuel from intersystem sales (Schedule 3) Fuel in loss compensation Total fuel recovered from intersystem sales	\$	12,406,369 55,878 12,462,247

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS DETAILS OF FUEL AND FUEL-RELATED COSTS SC Code Ann. §58-27-865 (Supp. 2009)

Fuel and fuel-related costs:	January 2010
Steam Generation - FERC Account 501 0501110 coal consumed - steam 0501222, 0501223 biomass/test fuel consumed 0501310 fuel oil consumed - steam 0501330 fuel oil light-off - steam Total Steam Generation - Account 501	\$ 143,632,819 14,256 935,858 997,275 145,580,208
Environmental Costs 0509000, 0557451 emission allowance expense 0502020, 030, 040 reagents expense Emission allowance gains Total Environmental Costs	18,887 1,702,844 (66,500) 1,655,230
Nuclear Generation - FERC Account 518 0518100 burnup of owned fuel 0518600 nuclear fuel disposal cost Total Nuclear Generation - 100% Less joint owners' portion Total Nuclear Generation - Account 518	20,955,806 5,051,509 26,007,315 6,566,336 19,440,979
Other Generation - FERC Account 547 0547100 natural gas consumed 0547200 fuel oil consumed - CT Total Other Generation - Account 547	254,808 202,967 457,774
Total fossil and nuclear fuel expenses included in base fuel component	167,134,192
Fuel related component of purchased and interchange power per Schedule 3, pages 1 and 2	3,720,709
Fuel related component of purchased power (economic accrual)	621,203
Total fuel and fuel-related costs	\$ 171,476,104

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS DETAILS OF FUEL AND FUEL-RELATED COSTS SC Code Ann. §58-27-865 (Supp. 2009)

Other fuel expenses not included in fuel and fuel-related costs:	J	anuary 2010
Net proceeds from sale of by-products	\$	(303,343)
0501223 biomass avoided fuel cost excess		-
0518610 spent fuel canisters-accrual		207,144
0518620 canister design expense		10,662
0518700 fuel cycle study costs		13,541
Non-fuel component of purchased and interchanged power  Total other fuel expenses not included in fuel and fuel-related costs:	<u>\$</u>	6,445,499
Total FERC Account 501 - Total Steam Generation Total FERC Account 518 - Total Nuclear Generation Total FERC Account 547 - Other Generation Total Reagents Expense Total Gain/Loss from Sale of By-Products Total Emission Allowance Expense Total Gain/Loss from Sale of Emission Allowances Total Purchased and Interchanged Power Expenses		145,580,208 19,672,327 457,774 1,702,844 (303,343) 18,887 (66,500) 10,787,411
Total Fuel, Fuel Related and Purchased Power Expenses	\$	177,849,607

#### Exhibit A Schedule 3 SC, Purchases, Month Page 1 of 3

#### DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS PURCHASED POWER AND INTERCHANGE SOUTH CAROLINA JANUARY 2010

Purchased Power	Total	-	Capacity		Non-C	apacity	,
Marketers, Utilities, Other	s	MW	s	MWH	Fuel S		Non-Fuel S
Alcoa Power Generating Inc.	15,120		-	560	9.223		5,897
American Electric Power Serv Corp.	81,800			900	57,087		24,713
Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corp.	2,252,459	86	979,806	49,721	776,318		496,335
City of Kings Mtn	8,979	3	8,979		,		400,000
Cobb Electric Membership Corp.	3,600	_		100	2,196		1,404
ConocoPhilips Company	780	_	-	130	476		304
Haywood Electric	455,912	20	191,711	8,751	161,163		103,038
Lockhart Power Co.	19,272	7	19,272	0,701	101,100		103,030
MISO	6,239		10,212	_	3,806		2,433
NCEMC load following	8.287		_	829	5,054		3,233
NCMPA #1	1,122,738	_	_	33,081	762,109		360,629
Piedmont Electric Membership Corp.	1,159,519	42	492,848	25,073	406,669		260,002
PJM Interconnection LLC	156,123	-	102,510	(455)	95,234		60,889
Progress Energy Carolinas	25,000	_	_	500	57,535		
Rutherford Electric Membership Corp.	95,111	_		4,003	58,018		(32,535)
SC Electric & Gas	19,000			200	11,590		37,093
Southern	14,120			789	8,613		7,410
SPCO - Rowan	1,359,983	456	1,359,983	703	0,013		5,507
The Energy Authority	112,358	-100	1,000,000	2,140	68,539		40.040
Town of Dallas	584	_	584	2,140	00,558		43,819
Town of Forest City	20,148	7	20,148	-	-		-
Generation Imbalance	37,792	'	20,146	1,673	00.050		4.500
Energy Imbalance	201,334	-	-		23,053		14,739
	\$ 7,176,258	621	\$3,073,331	3,197 131,192	120,607 \$2,627,290	s	80,727 1,475,637

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS PURCHASED POWER AND INTERCHANGE SOUTH CAROLINA JANUARY 2010

	JANUARY 2	010					
Purchased Power	Total	C	apacity		Non-	-Capacity	
Cogen, Purpa, Small Power Producers 203 Neotrantor LLC	\$ 32	MW		MWH 1	Fuel	\$	Non-Fuel
AKS Real Estate Holdings LLC	14	-	-	-		-	14
Alamance Hydro, LLC Andrews Truss, Inc.	8,919 <b>2</b> 6	-	-	128		-	8,919
Anna L Reilly	16	-	-	1 -		-	26 16
Aquenergy Corp. Barbara Ann Evans	42,735 3,742	-	-	656		-	42,735
Berjouhi Keshguerian	3,742 16	-	-	103		-	3,742 16
Biomerieux, Inc Black Hawk Inc	40	-	-	1		-	40
Bruce Marotta	4 16	-	-	-		-	4 16
Byron P Matthews	10	-				-	10
Cherokee County Clark H Mizell	2,510,001 35	-	374,665	11,331 1	740,818	3	1,394,518 35
Cliffside Mills LLC	18,707	-	-	254		-	18,707
Converse Energy Dave K Birkhead	40,485 6	-	-	629		•	40,485 6
David A Ringenburg	21	-		-		-	21
David E. Shi David H Newman	7	-	-	-	-	•	7
David M Thomas	14 27		-	1			14 27
David W Walters	19	-	-	-	-		19
David Wiener Decision Support	14 101	- :	-	2		•	14 101
Delta Products Corp.	105	-	-	2			105
Diann M. Barbacci Earnhardt-Childress Racing Technologies, LLC	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
Edward W Witkin	217 13	-	-	5	-	•	217 13
Fogleman Construction, Inc	16	-	-	-	-		16
Frances L. Thomson Gerald Priebe	26 13	-	-	1	-		26
Gerald W. Meisner	11	-	-		-		13 11
Greenville Gas Producer, LLC	115,253	-	-	2,003	98,367		16,886
Gwenyth T Reid H Malcolm Hardy	14 15	-	-		-		14 15
Haneline Power, LLC	12,431	-	-	163	-		12,431
Haw River Hydro Co Hayden-Harman Foundation	32,935 7	-	-	909	-		32,935
Hendrik J Rodenburg	15	-	-		-		7 15
Henry Jay Becker	3	-	-	-	-		3
HMS Holdings Limited Partnership Holzworth Holdings	90 5	-	-	2	-		90 5
Innovative Solar Solutions	18	-	-	-	_		18
Irvine River Company Jafasa Farms	934 66	-	-	10	-		934
James B Sherman	5			1 -	-		66 5
James L Johnson	4	-	•	-	-		4
Jeffery Lynn Pardue Jerome Levit	18 6	•	-	-	-		18
Jody Fine	9	-	-	-			6 9
Joel L. Hager John B Robbins	23 28	-	-	1	-		23
John H. Diliberti	20 51	- :		1	-		28 51
Keith Adam Smith	9	-	-	-	-		9
Lamar Bailes Leon's Beauty School, Inc	15 152	-	-	2	_		15 152
Linda Alexander	9	-	-	-	-		9
Marilyn M Norfolk Mark A Powers	14 5	-	-	-	-		14
Mary K Nicholson	17	-	-	-	-		5 17
Matthew T. Ewers	10	-	-		-		10
Mayo Hydro Mill Shoals Hydro	39,803 17,511	-	-	910 453	-		39,803 17,511
Mr Lawrence B Miller	6	-	-	-	-		6
MP Durham, LLC Optima Engineering	112,126 40	-	-	1,933 1	94,920		17,206
Pacifica HOA	25		-	1	-		40 25
Paul G. Keller Pelzer Hydro Co.	14	-	-	-	-		14
Peter J Jarosak	175,877 7	-	-	2,745	-		175,877 7
Phillip B. Caldwell	12	-	-	-	-		12
Pippin Home Designs, Ino PRS-PK Engines, LLC	9 262	-	-	4	-		9 262
R Lawrence Ashe Jr	23	-	-	1	-		23
Rajah Y Chacko	8	-	-	-	-		8
Rajendra Morey Ramona L Sherwood	4 19	-	-	-	-		4 19
Raylen Vineyards Inc	47	-	-	1	_		47
Ron B Rozzelle Ronald R Butters	20 6	-	-	-	-		20
Rousch & Yates Racing Engines, LLC	495		-	8	-		6 495
Russell Von Stein	1	-	-	-	-		1
Salem Energy Systems Samuel C Province	127,160 45	-	-	2,630 1	-		127,160 45
Scot Friedman	24	-	-	- :	-		24
Shawn Slome South Yadkin Power	8 17,910	-	-	230	-		17 910
Stanley Chamberlain	20	-	-	∠au -	-		17,910 20
Steve Mason Ent., Inc.	17	-	-	2	-		17
Steven Graf Stewart A Bible	26 6	-	-	1 -	-		26 6
Strates Inc	22	-	-	-	-		22
Sun Capital, Inc Sun Edison LLC	110 9,763	-	-	2 144	- 250.5		110
S. Designs, Inc.	42	-	-	144	7,070		2,693 42
he Rocket Shop, LLC homas Knox Worde	9	-	-	-	-		9
homas W Bates	10 20	-		-	-		10 20
own of Chapel Hill	21	-	-	-	-		21
V. Jefferson Holt Vallace & Graham PA	40 355	-	-	1	-		40
Valter C. McGervey	355 5	-	-	6	-		355 5
Villiam Terry Baker	22	-	-		-		22
ves Naar inergy Imbalance	25 (82,039)	-	-	1 -	(74,654)		25 (7,385)
	\$ 3,207,509	- \$	374,665	25,285	866,521	\$	1,966,323
TOTAL PURCHASED POWER	\$10,383,767	621 \$3	,447,996	156,477 \$	3,493,811	s	3,441,960
		-2. 40		,411	-,0,011	<del>-</del>	J,7+1,70U
NTERCHANGES IN Other Catawba Joint Owners	6 780 417			714 050	7 7EP E10		2 000 001
otal Interchanges In	6,780,417 6,780,417				3,756,516 3,756,516		3,023,901
-					-,		, 30,001
NTERCHANGES OUT	(6,376,773)	(866)	(134,209)	(668,615) (	3,529,618)		(2,712,946)
iner Catawda Joint Owners	\-,-,-,-,-)	,/		(,,-)	.,,		(~, 1 12,040)
Other Catawba Joint Owners Latawba- Net Negative Generation							
	(6,376,773)	(866)	134,209)	(668,615) (	3,529,618)		(2,712,946)

#### DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS INTERSYSTEM SALES\* SOUTH CAROLINA FUEL FILING JANUARY 2010

Schedule 3 SC, Sales, Month Page 3 of 3

TOTAL     SALES   CHARGES   MW   \$   MWH   FUEL \$   NON- Utilities:     TOTAL     TO	FUEL \$
	***************************************
	2,019
Progress Energy Carolinas - Emergency \$ 8,688 - \$ - 155 \$ 6,669 \$	2,019
SC Public Service Authority - Emergency 1,992 - 46 1,704	288
SC Electric & Gas - Emergency (9,855) (200)	
Market Based:	(9,855)
American Electric Power Services Corp. 11,100 200 8,872	2,228
Cargill-Alliant, LLC 438,450 6,550 277,211	2,228 161,239
Cobb Electric Membership Corp 805,285 4,500 235,445	
ConocoPhillips Company 78,790 - 491 22,415	569,840
Constellation Power Sources 240,580 1,893 85,408	56,375 155,172
East Kentucky Power Coop. 217,152 2,496 113,786	
Florida Power Corp. 675,120 5,849 264,475	103,366 410,645
Fortis Energy Marketing (6,500) (100) -	
Merrill Lynch Commodities, Inc. 50,395 613 25,739	(6,500) 24,656
MISO (30,064) (125)	(30,064)
Morgan Stanley 3,420 38 1,818	1,602
NCEMC 215,375 - 2,125 103,315	112,060
NCEMC (Generator/Instantaneous) 370,724 25 125,000 4,126 190,722	55,002
NCMPA #1 333,954 50 216,500 1,904 85,503	31,951
NCMPA #1 - Rockingham 157,500 50 157,500	31,931
Oriothomo	226.807
P IM Interconnection I I C	996,806
Dower South Coop	217,194
Progress Energy Carolinas 1,859,862 17.612 833,075 1	026,787
SC Electric & Gas Market based 5,412	5,412
Southern 2,605,475 22,765 1,046,352 1.	559.123
The Energy Authority 808,454 6,480 349,782	158,672
TVA 63,761 - 1,062 47,836	15,925
Other:	10,020
Generation Imbalance 28,343 (140) 25,554	2.789
	2,703
	22,493

<sup>\*</sup> Sales for resale other than native load priority.

NOTE(S): Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

#### Duke Energy Carolinas Over / (Under) Recovery of Fuel Costs January 2010 SC Code Ann. §58-27-865 (Supp. 2009)

Lir	e	!	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	
No	).		Nesidelitiai	Commercial	Industrial	Total
1	S.C. Retail kWh sales	Input	812,126,889	490,494,824	624,761,691	1,927,383,404
Bas	se fuel component of recovery					
2	Billed base fuel rate (¢/kWh)	Input	1.9606	1.9606	1.9606	1.9606
3	Billed base fuel expense	L1 * L2 /100	\$15,922,560	\$9,616,642	\$12,249,078	\$37,788,280
4	incurred base fuel rate (¢/kWh)	Input	2.0155	2.0155	2.0155	2.0155
5	Incurred base fuel expense	L1 * L4 / 100	\$16,368,417	\$9,885,923	\$12,592,072	\$38,846,412
6	Difference in ¢/kWh (Billed - Incurred)	L2 - L4	(0.0549)	(0.0549)	(0.0549)	
7	Base fuel over/(under) recovery	L1 *L6 / 100	(\$445,858)	(\$269,282)	(\$342,994)	(0.0549)
	7a Prior period adjustment expense _/1	Input	\$702	\$575	( <b>\$342,994)</b> \$765	<b>(\$1,058,133)</b> \$2,041
Env	ironmental component of recovery					
8	Billed rates by class (¢/kWh)	Input	0.0047	0.0058	0.0030	0.0047
9	Billed environmental expense	L8 * L1 / 100	\$38,170	\$28,449	0.0038	0.0047
10	Incurred rate by class (¢/kWh)	input	0.0175	0.0225	\$23,741	\$90,360
11	Incurred environmental expense	L10 * L1 / 100	\$142,052	\$110,220	0.0167	0.0185
12	Difference in ¢/kWh (Billed - Incurred)	L8 - L10	(0.0128)	(0.0167)	\$104,264	\$356,535
13	Environmental over/(under) recovery	L9 - L11	(\$103,882)	(\$81,771)	(0.0129)	(0.0138)
	13a Prior period adjustment expense _/1	Input	\$0	\$0 \$0	<b>(\$80,523)</b> \$0	<b>(\$266,175)</b> \$0
Ecoi	nomic purchase component of recovery					
14	S.C. kWh sales % by class	L1/L1T	42.14%	25.45%	20.400/	400 000/
15	Economic purchase accrual	L15T * L14	(\$64,784)	(\$39,127)	32.42%	100.00%
	15a Prior period adjustment expense _/1	Input	\$0	\$0	<b>(\$49,837)</b> \$0	(\$153,748)
Tota	over/(under) recovery					
16	Current month	L7 + L13 + L15	(\$614,523)	(\$390,179)	(\$473,354)	(\$4.470.0EC)
	16a Current month w/adjustments	L16+(7a+13a+15a)	(\$613,821)	(\$389,605)	(\$473,534) (\$472,590)	(\$1,478,056) (\$1,476,016)
		W 1 (X8.1) 118.1 White				
17	Cumulative over / (under) recovery	Cumulative	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Total Company
	Balance ending May 2009 _/2 June	47,830,080				
_/1		49,160,373	405,693	390,768	533,832	1,330,293
	July	54,300,863	1,872,165	1,548,042	1,720,283	5,140,490
	August	55,827,421	592,687	458,734	475,137	1,526,558
	September	62,729,558	2,231,657	2,020,534	2,649,946	6,902,137
	October	63,384,306	158,746	201,004	294,998	654,748
	November	61,153,190	(620,334)	(629,338)	(981,444)	(2,231,116)
	December	62,513,765	438,959	337,314	584,302	1,360,575
	January -	61,037,749	(613,821)	(389,605)	(472,590)	(1,476,016)
	February				•	•
	March					
	April					
	May					

\_/1 Prior period adjustments recalculated using appropriate period sales; therefore, detail calculations not shown.

\_/2 May 2009 ending balance shown is net of GRT and further reflects the economic purchase adjustment for review period ended 5/31/2009 (pending commission's approval in Sept 2009).

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS FUEL AND FUEL RELATED COST REPORT January 2010

Dan River	oteam Steam/CT Steam/CT	89,22,518 \$0 \$3,296	565,5254 655,565	351.84 1,594.53 1,499.77 	354.88 1,502.02 1,462.35	767,536 \$2,717,989 \$4,4	. 14,256 ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	874,010 \$2,847,207 \$4,623,670 \$	348.94	1,539.09 1,658.75 1,510.59 1,158.46 1,003.76 (B)	373.38 362.63 361,33	3.79 3.93 3.68	(B) - 12.73	3.82 4.12 3.85	3.976,672 777,390 1,263,167 [][][][] 6.918 7.769 10.054		3,983,590 785,159 1,279,622	389,464 69,108 119,682 [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[	507	389,464 69,079 120,189	382 97,460	97,842
	Nuclear	\$0 ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		351 1594	- 354	418		\$0 \$8,131,282 \$14,874,0			47.04 (3)(3)(3)(3)(3)(3)(3)(3)(3)(3)(3)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)		. (B)		e i	******	- 17,284,475 3,983,5	(145)	1,741,469	• •	8	
Belews Euck Creek Buck		\$40,470,866 521,860 \$10,092,726		403.21 1,524.70 -	407.02	\$56,525,050 \$4,565,867	720,601 163,388 372	\$57,245,651 \$4,729,627	408.38 386.68 [[[[[[]]]]]	1,513.90	412.17 397.12	3.83 4.32	<b>a</b>	3.88 4.48	13,841,180 1,180,778 [[][][][] 47,599 10,192		13,888,779 1,190,970	1,477,258 105,720 [][]		1,477,258 105,685	487,686 373,269 5,284	860,955 5,284
Belews Description Allen Creek Steam Steam		\$12,070,092 \$40, 370,357 \$12,441,050 \$40,		391.19	400.20	il Burned(\$) (D) (I) \$17,381,671	323,663	Nuclear (1977) (	MBTU) Avg 425.41		430.92	Generated (¢/kWh) Avg Coal Fuel Oil	Gas Nuclear	4.10	Burned MBTU's 4,085,822 13,841,16 Coal 4,085,822 13,841,16 Fuel Oil (H) 22,929 47,55		i otal 4,108,751 13,888,77 Net Generation (mWh)	432,259		432,259	s Burned (\$) 130,264 15,033	Total 145,297 860,95

(A) Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

(B) Cents/kWh not computed when costs and/or net generation is negative.

(C) Fele costs based on recoverability unless otherwise noted. Data reflected at 100% ownership.

(C) Cate of the based on recoverability unless otherwise noted. Data reflected at 100% ownership.

(C) Cate of the month, as well as 5, 168 tons and \$149,396 for the welve months ended.

(E) Fuel received includes 0,000 tons and \$1,000 associated with Biomass (wood product) test burn at Buck & Lee for the month, as well as 5, 168 tons and \$149,396 for the welve months ended.

(F) Fuel burned includes 0,000 tons and \$14,256 associated with Biomass (wood product) test burn at Buck & Lee for the month and 3,539 MWH for the twelve months ended.

(G) Netgeneration (Whyth includes 0,000 forward reflects corrections to the fuel oil MBTUs and the associated data for coal/biomass in Sep09.

(G) Twelve months ended December 2009 forward reflects a change to fuel cost and associated data for coal/biomass in Sep09.

# DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS FUEL AND FUEL RELATED CONSUMPTION AND INVENTORY REPORT January 2010

(7.638) 1,576,530 229,536 354,977 120,554 224,782 9 (7.638) 1,841 246 1,339 (46) 1,228 (7.638) 1,841 246 1,336 (7.638) 1,841 246 1,336 (7.638) 1,841 246 1,336 (7.638) 1,841 246 1,336 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,841 244 (7.638) 1,851 244 (7.638) 1	Description	Allen Steam	Creek	Buck Steam/CT	Roost	Cliffside Steam	Dan River Steam/CT	Lee Steam/CT	Lincoln	Marshall Steam	Mill Creek CT	Riverbend Steam/CT	Rockingham CT	Month	January 2010
Fig. 20   Fig.													;		
tituring period (§) (7) (13,17) 4,08,994	valance	657,357	1,576,530	229,536		354,977	120,554	224,782	**************************************	905.631	**************************************	318 030	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	700 000 1	244
Internation (Simple) (7,758) 1,1841 246 1,1839 (46) 1,1228 3,924	red during period (E)	131,079	408,984	1		103,889	. '	. 1		341 325				1,000,000,4	41,092,714
Fig. 10   Fig. 15   Fig.	ijustments	(7,638)	1,841	246		1,939	(46)	1,228		3.924		908		117'006	14,700,332
### 609.048   1420.233   178.531   296.343   96.802   177.347   774.243   204.050   1,207.	d during period (B) (F)	171,751	567,122	51,250		165,462	34.206	54.663		476.637		56 706		866,2	(/69,61)
processor         23.79         24.41         23.04         24.03         22.73         23.11         24.89         24.59         24.59         24.29         24.29         24.29         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         24.89         22.54,372         18.849         91.29         24.89         22.54,372         18.849         91.29         24.89         22.54,372         18.849         91.29         22.54,372         18.849         91.29         22.54,372         18.849         91.29         22.54,372         18.849         91.29         22.54,372         18.849         91.29         22.54,372         18.849         91.24         91.29         22.54,372         18.849         91.23	ınce	609,048	1,420,233	178,531		295,343	86,302	171.347		774 243		264 430		08/0/01	13,006,227
Inventory (\$flor)   56.05   98.54   88.97   88.96   79.51   81.14   80.49   80.49   84.38   91.28   91.28	r ton burned	23.79	24.41	23.04		24.03	22.73	23.11		24.89		23.57		3,789,187	3,799,187
Figure (15,749) 205,866 244,122 539,466 1,508,309 78,468 147,576 520,945 8,844,461 246,969 3,944,789 2284,563 2,254,372 18,849,966 19 10,408 1	ling inventory (\$/ton)	95.05	99.54	88.97	******* ****** ***** ***** ***** ***** ****	88.66	79.51	81.14		80.49		84.38	***************************************	24.29 91.26	25.13 91.26
ance 205,868 244,122 539,486 1,588,309 78,468 147,576 520,945 8,444,81 248,989 3,944,789 224,637 2,254,372 18,849,966 19 40 410,000 410,743 249,114 - 45,140 113,000 113,000 113,000 - 61,825 -															
ed during period (167,439 249,114 45,140 113,033 113,358 - 116,356 - 6,170 2,170 2,170 113,258 - 6,170 113,358 - 6,170 2,1	palance	205,866	244,122	539,486	1,536,309	78,468	147,576	520.945	8.844.481	248 989	3 944 789	284 562	0 054 979	40 040 060	40.450
Ladjustiments 1,561 (15,714) (2,507) - (6,205) (3,944) (1,056) - (20,375) - (1,735) - (1,735) - (49,975) - (49	eived during period	167,439	249,114	•	ı	45,140	113,033	113,358	,	116,355	; ;	61.832	216,402,2	10,049,900	7 046 620
during period 166,403 345,44 7,200 1,000 1	ous usage, and adjustments	1.561	(15 714)	(2 507)	,	7906 97	970							12,000	000,010,
e 208,463 131,079 463,048 1,536,309 67,100 200,144 560,789 8,741,986 264,910 3,940,704 249,595 2,254,372 18,618,399 1 more a full gent of 4,210 16,355 a followed by the follo	med during period	166 403	346 443	73 031	Ī	(0,203)	(5,944)	(deu,1)	' '	(20,375)	•	(1,735)	•	(49,975)	(542,167)
Inventory (\$(figal) 2.07 2.09 2.21 0.79 2.05 2.29 2.09 1.60 2.04 1.25 2.01 2.34 1.61 2.44 1.61 2.44 1.62 2.04 3.940,704 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.09 1.60 2.04 1.25 2.01 2.34 1.61 2.44 1.61 2.44 1.62 2.04 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05	ance estimated	208 463	134 070	462,040	1 626 200	00,000	30,02	12,438	102,495	80,159	4,085	95,065	1	1,047,863	7,306,350
nnce during period class 18,047 class 2.29 2.09 1,60 2.04 1,25 2.01 2.34 1,61 and a class	ling inventory (\$/get)	20.6	000	040,004	600,000,1	201,70	200, 144	300,789	8,741,986	264,810	3,940,704	249,595	2,254,372	18,618,399	18,618,399
ance during period uning period	(ing./4) (ing.ing.ing.ing.	70.7	80. <b>7</b>	17.7	67.0	2.05	2.29	2.09	1.60	2.04	1.25	2.01	2.34	1.61	1.61
during period climing c															
during period uring u	alance							****** ***** ***** ***** **** **** **** ****					**************************************	**************************************	
uning period  e   11,593	ed during period			,				6.292					44.46	30 400 A	4 500 050
Inventory (\$/mcf)  Inventory (\$/	MCF burned during period			1	1		•	6.292	1			1	4 4, 10	00,400	1,509,955
Inventory (\$/mcf)  Ince  11,593  18,047  during period  - 6,395  - 6,3639  4,210  16,367  20,929  20,929  21,845  21,845  42,412  48,550	Ending balance						******* ****** ****** ***** ***** ***** ****						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	90,408	SCA ADC'
Auring period 6,395 63,639 63,6412 44,2412	ling inventory (\$/mcf)				**************************************										
11,593 18,047 63,639 63,639 77,323 4 4,210 16,357 7,323 4,2412 7,382 8,085 7,323 4,8,550	· :													********************	
- 6,395 4,210 16,357 7,382 8,085 7,382 8,085 4,412 4 42,412 4 42,412 4 48,550	valance	11,593	18,047			100000 1000000			**************************************	33 000		1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	000	0
4,210 16,357 21,423 7,382 8,085 33,083 48,550	ed during period	•	6,395							20 00				00,000	96,259
7,382 8,085 33,083 48,550 48,550	d during period	4,210	16.357							20,029				27,323	413,961
33,063	ice .	7.382	8 085							26,12				42,412	463,670
	Cost of engling inventory (\$ffcn)	1000	6							33,083				48,550	48,550

<sup>(</sup>A) Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.
(B) Twelve months ended includes aerial survey adjustment(s) reflected in the tons burned and cost of inventory lines for coal and limestone. Adjustments as needed are made in December of each year.
(C) Gas is burned as received; therefore, inventory balances are not maintained.
(E) Fuel received includes 0,000 tons and \$0,000 associated with Biomass (wood product) test fuel at Buck & Lee for the month, as well as 5,168 tons and \$149,396 for the twelve months ended.
(F) Fuel burned includes 0,614 tons and \$14,266 associated with Biomass (wood product) test burn at Buck & Lee for the month, as well as 5,169 tons and \$149,396 for the twelve months ended.
(H) Twelve months ended December 2009 forward reflects a change for the correct placement of an inventory adjustment made in September 2009.

#### SCHEDULE 7

#### DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS ANALYSIS OF COAL PURCHASES January 2010

STATION	ТҮРЕ	QUANTITY OF TONS DELIVERED	DELIVERED COST	DELIVERED COST PER TON
ALLEN	SPOT	_	\$ -	\$ -
	CONTRACT ADJUSTMENTS	131,079	11,914,590.97 156,101.31	90.90
	TOTAL	131,079	12,070,692.28	92.09
BELEWS CREEK	SPOT	-	-	_
	CONTRACT ADJUSTMENTS	408,984 -	38,377,405.19 2,093,460.89	93.84 -
	TOTAL	408,984	40,470,866.08	98.95
BUCK	SPOT	-	-	-
	CONTRACT ADJUSTMENTS	-	-	-
	TOTAL			-
CLIFFSIDE	SPOT	-	-	-
	CONTRACT	103,889	8,747,319.79	84.20
	ADJUSTMENTS	-	175,198.03	-
	TOTAL	103,889	8,922,517.82	85.89
DAN RIVER	SPOT	-	-	-
	CONTRACT	-	-	-
	ADJUSTMENTS TOTAL	-	-	
LEE	SPOT	_	-	-
	CONTRACT	-	-	79.96
	ADJUSTMENTS	-	3,295.53	_
	TOTAL		3,295.53	82.42
MARSHALL	SPOT	-	-	-
	CONTRACT ADJUSTMENTS	341,325	27,292,935.76	79.96
	TOTAL	341,325	838,471.46 28,131,407.22	82.42
RIVERBEND	SPOT			
KIVEKBEKB	CONTRACT	- -	- 28,575.73	-
	ADJUSTMENTS	-	-	_
	TOTAL	-	28,575.73	
ALL PLANTS	SPOT	-	-	
	CONTRACT ADJUSTMENTS	985,277 	86,360,827.44 <u>3,266,527.22</u>	87.65 
	TOTAL	985 277	\$ 89,627,354.66	\$ 90.97

#### SCHEDULE 8

## Duke Energy Carolinas Analysis of Quality of Coal Received January 2010

Station	Percent <u>Moisture</u>	Percent Ash	Heat Value	Percent Sulfur
Allen	8.32	12.45	11,770	1.01
Belews Creek	6.85	11.20	12,271	0.97
Buck	-	-	· <u>-</u>	-
Cliffside	6.74	11.83	12,205	0.99
Dan River	-	-	_	_
Lee	_	-	_	_
Marshall	6.77	10.52	12,479	1.43
Riverbend	-	_	-	-

Duke Energy Carolinas Analysis of Cost of Oil Purchases January 2010

Station		Allen		Belews Creek		Cliffside		Dan River		Fee		Marshall		Riverbend
Vendor		HighTowers		HighTowers		HighTowers		HighTowers		HighTowers		High Towers		HighTowers
Spot / Contract		Contract		Contract		Contract		Contract		Contract		Contract		Contract
Sulfur Content %		0		0		0		0.03		0.02		0.02		0.03
Gallons Received		167,439		249,114		45,140		113,033		113,358		116,355		61,832
<b>Total Delivered Cost</b>	↔	370,357.40	↔	370,357.40 \$ 521,859.89 \$ 99,020.07 \$ 233,018.99	€9	99,020.07	46	233,018.99	€	\$ 255,545.45	↔	259,403.74 \$	↔	126,272.25
Delivered Cost/Gal	↔	2.21	↔	2.09	↔	2.19	↔	2.06	↔	2.25	↔	2.23	↔	2.04
BTU/Gallon		137,792		137,394		137,570		137,458		138,358		137,723		138,010

February,2009 - January,2010

Plant Name	Generation MWH	Capacity Rating MW	Capacity Factor %	Net Equivalent Availability %
Oconee	20,889,609	2,538	93.96	91.91
McGuire	18,992,376	2,200	98.55	94.86
Catawba	17,911,076	2,258	90.55	88.52

#### Schedule 10

Page 2 of 6 **Exhibit**:A

## Duke Energy Carolinas Power Plant Performance Data Twelve Month Summary

February 2009 through January 2010

#### **Steam Units**

Unit Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Capacity Factor (%)	Equivalent Availability (%)
Belews Creek 1	7,150,872	1,110	73.54	82.32
Belews Creek 2	7,518,650	1,110	77.32	90.78

Schedule 10

Page 3 of 6

#### Exhibit A

#### **Duke Energy Carolinas Power Plant Performance Data Twelve Month Summary**

February 2009 through January 2010 **Steam Units** 

Unit Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Capacity Factor (%)	Equivalent Availability (%)
Cliffside 5	3,238,633	562	65.78	90.94
Marshall 1	1,748,328	380	52.52	86.04
Marshall 2	1,582,647	380	47.54	87.00
Marshall 3	4,764,131	658	82.65	89.29
Marshall 4	4,586,019	660	79.32	89.90

#### Duke Energy Carolinas Power Plant Performance Data

Schedule 10 Page 4 of 6 Exhibit A

## Twelve Month Summary February 2009through January 2010 Other Cycling Coal Units

Unit Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Capacity Factor (%)	Operating Availability (%)
Allen 1	298,036	165	20.62	88.62
Allen 2	269,368	165	18.64	93.61
Allen 3	971,761	265	41.86	92.48
Allen 4	1,039,194	280	42.37	89.08
Allen 5	1,044,695	270	44.17	93.31
Buck 3	19,678	75	3.00	98.77
Buck 4	9,107	38	2.74	98.91
Buck 5	188,699	128	16.83	97.88
Buck 6	221,273	128	19.73	91.54
Cliffside 1	10,268	38	3.08	99.10
Cliffside 2	12,000	38	3.60	99.08
Cliffside 3	20,699	61	3.87	98.45
Cliffside 4	23,110	61	4.32	99.04
Dan River 1	30,063	67	5.12	93.99
Dan River 2	36,407	67	6.20	95.00
Dan River 3	130,031	142	10.45	91.72
Lee 1	71,787	100	8.19	91.25
Lee 2	82,561	100	9.42	90.58
Lee 3	323,863	170	21.75	93.63
Riverbend 4	58,537	94	7.11	95.80
Riverbend 5	61,377	94	7.45	95.85
Riverbend 6	201,627	133	17.31	90.38
Riverbend 7	215,193	133	18.47	90.02

## Duke Energy Carolinas Power Plant Performance Data Twelve Month Summary

Schedule 10 Page 5 of 6 Exhibit A

February,2009 through January,2010

#### **Combustion Turbines**

Station Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Operating Availability (%)
Buck CT	-377	90	100.00
Buzzard Roost CT	-1,350	196	100.00
Dan River CT	-422	82	68.08
Lee CT	1,006	82	98.75
Lincoln CT	4,907	1,264	99.44
Mill Creek CT	483	592	98.49
Riverbend CT	-1,007	115	67.14
Rockingham CT	116,044	825	96.41

#### Power Plant Performance

#### 12 Months Ended January 2010

		Capacity	
	Generation	Rating	Operating
Name of Plant	(MWH)	(MW)	Availability (%)
Conventional Hydro Plants			
Bridgewater	65,328	23.000	95.93
Cedar Creek	159,376	45.000	97.83
Cowans Ford	186,384	325.000	97.41
Dearborn	158,068	42.000	96.58
Fishing Creek	170,696	49.000	96.73
Gaston Shoals	17,471	4.600	61.20
Great Falls	12,015	24.000	47.40
Keowee	58,053	157.500	98.60
Lookout Shoals	100,179	27.000	94.30
Mountain Island	130,695	62.000	96.47
Ninety Nine Island	66,720	18.000	62.83
Oxford	120,689	40.000	92.41
Rhodhiss	73,263	30.500	97.66
Rocky Creek	3,164	28.000	-
Tuxedo	17,464	6.400	69.47
Wateree	254,501	85.000	97.12
Wylie	170,952	72.000	97.40
Nantahala	199,240	50.000	95.16
Queens Creek	4,581	1.440	94.65
Thorpe	97,068	19.700	98.20
Tuckasegee	8,378	2.500	98.17
Tennessee Creek	43,741	9.800	98.63
Bear Creek	35,297	9.450	98.63
Cedar Cliff	26,142	6.380	98.63
Mission	1,297	1.800	69.36
Franklin	(8)	1.040	95.75
Bryson	592	1.040	54.25
Dillsboro	<del>-</del>	0.230	50.00
Total Conventional	2,181,345		
Pumped Storage Plants			
Jocasee	922,730	730.000	92.50
Bad Creek	1,894,653	1,360.000	83.58
Total	2,817,383	1,300.000	93.56
	2,017,000		
Less Energy for Pumping			
Jocasee	(1,141,817)		
Bad Creek	(2,406,237)		
Total	(3,548,054)		
Total Pumped Storage			
Jocassee	(219,087)		
Bad Creek	(511,584)		
Total	(730,671)		

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN

PERIOD: January, 2010

PLANT	UNIT	DATE OF OUTAGE	DURATION OF OUTAGE	PLANT UNIT DATE OF DURATION SCHEDULED OUTAGE OF OUTAGE UNSCHEDULED	CAUSE OF OUTAGE	REASON OUTAGE OCCURRED	REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN
Oconee	_	None					
	,	None					
	33	None					
McGuire	-	None					
			-				
	7	None					
Catawba	-	None					
	7	None					
	-						

Exhibit B Page 2 of 16

January 2010

**Belews Creek Steam Station** 

No Outages During The Month.

### DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN January,2010

#### Oconee Nuclear Station

		UNIT	1	UNIT	2	UNIT	3
(A)	MDC (MW)	846		846		846	
(B)	Period Hours	744		744		744	
(C1)	Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	646342	102.69	649655	103.21	653039	103.75
(D1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (D2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
(E1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (E2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-16918	-2.69	-20231	-3.21	-23615	-3.75
* (F)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G)	Core Conservation	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H)	Net MWH Possible In Period	629424	100.00 %	629424	100.00 %	629424	100.00 %
(I)	Equivalent Availability		100.00		100.00		100.00
(J)	Output Factor		102.69		103.21		103.75
(K)	Heat Rate		10,086		10,035		9,983

\*Estimate

### DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN January,2010

#### McGuire Nuclear Station

		UNI	r 1	UNI	r 2
(A)	MDC (MW)	1100		1100	
(B)	Period Hours	744		744	
(C1)	Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	849842	103.84	854853	104.45
(D1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (D2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00
(E1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	.0	0.00
* (E2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-31442	-3.84	-36453	-4.45
	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G)	Core Conversion	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H)	Net MWH Possible In Period	818400	100.00 %	818400	100.00 %
(I)	Equivalent Availability		99.13		99.02
(J)	Output Factor		103.84		104.45
(K)	Heat Rate		10,086		10,017

\*Estimate

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN January, 2010

#### Catawba Nuclear Station

	-	rinu	1 1	UNIT	2
(A)	MDC (MW)	1129		1129	
(B)	Period Hours	744		744	
(C1)	Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	871225	103.72	870244	103.60
(D1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (D2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	285	0.03
(E1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (E2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-31249	-3.72	-30553	-3.63
* (F)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G)	Core Conversion	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H)	Net MWH Possible In Period	839976	100.00 %	839976	100.00 %
(I)	Equivalent Availability		100.00		99.97
(J)	Output Factor		103.72		103.60
(K)	Heat Rate		9,922		9,928

\*Estimate

Exhibit B Page 6 of 16

#### January 2010

#### **Belews Creek Steam Station**

	Unit 1	<u>Unit 2</u>
(A) MDC (mw)	. 1,110	1,110
(B) Period Hrs	744	744
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	774,249	703,009
(C1) Capacity Factor	93.75	85.13
(D1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Scheduled Outages	0	0
(D1) Scheduled Outages: percent of Period Hrs	0.00	0.00
(D2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Scheduled Outages	0	.0
(D2) Scheduled Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.00	0.00
(E1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Forced Outages	0	0
(E1) Forced Outages: percent of Period Hrs	0.00	0.00
(E2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Forced Outages	2,416	5,785
(E2) Forced Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.29	0.70
(F) Net mWh Not Generated due to Economic Dispatch	49,175	117,046
(F) Economic Dispatch: percent of Period Hrs	5.95	14.17
(G) Net mWh Possible in Period	825,840	825,840
(H) Equivalent Availability	99.71	99.30
(I) Output Factor (%)	93.75	85.13
(J) Heat Rate (BTU/NkWh)	9,296	9,518

Footnote: (J) Includes Light Off BTU's

Exhibit B Page 7 of 16

#### January 2010 Marshall Steam Station

	Marshall 1	Marshall 2	Marshall 3	Marshall 4
(A) MDC (mWh)	380	380	658	660
(B) Period Hrs	744	744	744	744
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	187,374	171,645	449,184	452,843
(D) Net mWh Possible in Period	282,720	282,720	489,552	491,040
(E) Equivalent Availability	92.00	90.65	99.45	99.48
(F) Output Factor (%)	81.20	80.98	91.75	92.22
(G) Capacity Factor	66.28	60.71	91.75	92.22

Exhibit B Page 8 of 16

#### January 2010 Cliffside Steam Station

	Cliffside 5
(A) MDC (mWh)	562
(B) Period Hrs	744
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	348,600
(D) Net mWh Possible in Period	418,128
(E) Equivalent Availability	99.94
(F) Output Factor (%)	83.37
(G) Capacity Factor	83.37

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN February,2009 - January,2010 Oconee Nuclear Station

	-	UNIT	1	UNIT	2	UNIT	3
(A)	MDC (MW)	846		846		846	
(B)	Period Hours	8760		8760		8760	
(C1)	Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	6312169	85.17	7601814	102.58	6975626	94.13
(D1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	826500	11.15	0	0.00	541863	7.31
* (D2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	24029	0.32	912	0.01	-2167	-0.03
(E1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	329703	4.45	0	0.00	65607	0.89
* (E2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-81441	-1.09	-191766	-2.59	-169969	-2.30
* (F)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G)	Core Conservation	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H)	Net MWH Possible In Period	7410960	100.00 %	7410960	100.00 %	7410960	100.00 %
(I)	Equivalent Availability		84.10		99.99		91.64
(J)	Output Factor		100.92		102.58		102.53
(K)	Heat Rate		10,239		10,095		10,099

\*Estimate

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN February,2009 - January,2010 McGuire Nuclear Station

		UNIT	1	UNIT	2
(A)	MDC (MW)	1100		1100	
(B)	Period Hours	8760		8760	
(C1)	Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	9986470	103.64	9005906	93.46
(D1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	897600	9.32
* (D2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	1015	0.01	45382	0.47
(E1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	40128	0.42
* (E2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-351485	-3.65	-353016	-3.67
* (F)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G)	Core Conversion	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H)	Net MWH Possible In Period	9636000	100.00 %	9636000	100.00 %
(I)	Equivalent Availability		99.90		89.83
(J)	Output Factor		103.64		103.54
(K)	Heat Rate		10,188		10,130

\*Estimate

## DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN February,2009 - January,2010 Catawba Nuclear Station

	-	UNIT	1	UNIT 2	
(A)	MDC (MW)	1129		1129	
(B)	Period Hours	8760		8760	
(C1)	Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	9002444	91.03	8908632	90.08
(D1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	1043975	10.56	1113149	11.26
* (D2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	29028	0.29	43429	0.44
(E1)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	45702	0.46
* (E2)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-185407	-1.88	-220872	-2.24
* (F)	Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	, 0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G)	Core Conversion	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H)	Net MWH Possible In Period	9890040	100.00 %	9890040	100.00 %
(I)	Equivalent Availability		89.13		87.91
(J)	Output Factor		101.77		102.03
(K)	Heat Rate		10,069		10,030

\*Estimate

Exhibit B Page 12 of 16

#### February 2009 through January 2010

#### **Belews Creek Steam Station**

	is creek steam stati	VII
	<u>Unit 1</u>	<u>Unit 2</u>
(A) MDC (mw)	1,110	1,110
(B) Period Hrs	8,760	8,760
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	7,150,872	7,518,650
(C1) Capacity Factor	73.54	77.32
(D1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Scheduled Outages	1,553,075	308,062
(D1) Scheduled Outages: percent of Period Hrs	15.97	3.17
(D2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Scheduled Outages	49,576	17,864
(D2) Scheduled Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.31	0.18
(E1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Forced Outages	87,319	555,372
(E1) Forced Outages: percent of Period Hrs	0.90	5.71
(E2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Forced Outages	27,315	15,550
(E2) Forced Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.28	0.16
(F) Net mWh Not Generated due to Economic Dispatch	855,443	1,308,102
(F) Economic Dispatch: percent of Period Hrs	8.80	13.45
(G) Net mWh Possible in Period	9,723,600	9,723,600
(H) Equivalent Availability	82.32	90.78
(I) Output Factor (%)	90.59	86.34
(J) Heat Rate (BTU/NkWh)	9,264	9,459

\*Estimated

Footnote: (J) Includes Light Off BTU's

Exhibit B Page 13 of 16

## February 2009 through January 2010 Marshall Steam Station

	Marshall 1	Marshall 2	Marshall 3	Marshall 4
(A) MDC (mWh)	380	380	658	660
(B) Period Hrs	8,760	8,760	8,760	8,760
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	1,748,328	1,582,647	4,764,131	4,586,019
(D) Net mWh Possible in Period	3,328,800	3,328,800	5,764,080	5,781,600
(E) Equivalent Availability	86.04	87.00	89.29	89.90
(F) Output Factor (%)	76.85	75.58	91.17	87.93
(G) Capacity Factor	52.52	47.54	82.65	79.32

Exhibit B Page 14 of 16

#### February 2009 through January 2010 Cliffside Steam Station

	Cliffside 5
(A) MDC (mWh)	562
(B) Period Hrs	8,760
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	3,238,633
(D) Net mWh Possible in Period	4,923,120
(E) Equivalent Availability	90.94
(F) Output Factor (%)	80.62
(G) Capacity Factor	65.78

#### **DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS**

#### Outages for 100MW or Larger Units January,2010

#### Full Outage Hours

	Unit	MW	Scheduled	Unscheduled	Total
Oconee	1	846	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2	846	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3	846	0.00	0.00	0.00
McGuire	1	1100	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2	1100	0.00	0.00	0.00
Catawba	1	1129	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2	1129	0.00	0.00	0.00

## Duke Energy Carolinas Outages for 100 mW or Larger Units January 2010

	Capacity		tage Hours	Total Outage
Unit Name	Rating (mW)	Scheduled	Unscheduled	Hours
Allen 1	165	9.50	0.00	9.50
Allen 2	165	12.50	0.00	12.50
Allen 3	265	0.00	47.62	47.62
Allen 4	280	0.00	0.00	0.00
Allen 5	270	3.50	0.00	3.50
Belews Creek 1	1,110	0.00	0.00	0.00
Belews Creek 2	1,110	0.00	0.00	0.00
Buck 5	128	0.00	3.13	3.13
Buck 6	128	0.00	2.18	2.18
Cliffside 5	562	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dan River 3	142	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lee 1	100	0.00	11.03	11.03
Lee 2	100	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lee 3	170	0.00	0.00	0.00
Marshall 1	380	0.00	50.82	50.82
Marshall 2	380	21.00	46.57	67.57
Marshall 3	658	0.00	0.00	0.00
Marshall 4	660	0.00	0.00	0.00
Riverbend 6	133	9.50	0.00	9.50
Riverbend 7	133	36.00	0.00	36.00
Rockingham CT1	165	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rockingham CT2	165	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rockingham CT3	165	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rockingham CT4	165	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rockingham CT5	165	0.00	0.00	0.00

The appropriate schedules have been revised due to changes in McGuire (Unit 2) and Catawba (Unit 1) data.

#### **List of Revisions:**

(included with January 2010 Monthly Fuel Filing)

#### Oct09, Nov09, & Dec09

Revised, Schedule 10, Page 1 of 6

(SC)

November,2008 - October,2009

#### **REVISION 1**

Plant Name	Generation MWH	Capacity Rating MW	Capacity Factor %	Net Equivalent Availability %
Oconee	20,624,756	2,538	92.77	90.74
McGuire	18,640,789	2,200	96.72	93.10
Catawba	19,043,140	2,258	96.27	93.92

December, 2008 - November, 2009

#### **REVISION 1**

Plant Name	Generation MWH	Capacity Rating MW	Capacity Factor %	Net Equivalent Availability %
Oconee	20,687,830	2,538	93.05	91.02
McGuire	19,020,146	2,200	98.69	94.94
Catawba	18,344,278	2,258	92.74	90.59

January,2009 - December,2009

#### **REVISION 1**

Plant Name	Generation MWH	Capacity Rating MW	Capacity Factor %	Net Equivalent Availability %
Oconee	20,892,237	2,538	93.97	91.91
McGuire	19,014,743	2,200	98.67	94.94
Catawba	17,912,263	2,258	90.56	88.52